# What is the effect of phasing out long-chain per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) on the concentrations of perfluoroalkyl acids and their precursors in humans?

Magnus Land<sup>1\*</sup>, Cynthia A. de Wit<sup>2</sup>, Anders Bignert<sup>3</sup>, Ian T. Cousins<sup>2</sup>, Dorte Herzke<sup>4</sup>, Jana H. Johansson<sup>2</sup>, Jonathan W. Martin<sup>2,5</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Mistra EviEM, Stockholm Environment Institute, Box 24218, SE-104 51 Stockholm, Sweden, <sup>2)</sup> Department of Environmental Science and Analytical Chemistry, Stockholm University, Sweden, <sup>3)</sup> Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden, <sup>4)</sup> Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Tromsø, Norway, <sup>5)</sup> Division of Analytical and Environmental Toxicology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada. \*Corresponding author

#### 1. BACKGROUND

Toxicological and refractory properties of PFASs, in combination with their widespread occurrence and bioavailability, have raised concerns about the environment and human health. Consequently, the use of some PFASs have been regulated or voluntarily phased-out by the industry in parts of the World. Here we summarize the findings of a systematic review on global temporal trends of perfluoroalkyl acids (PFAAs) and their precursors in humans.

30

20

/gr 10

1994

40

30

20 m/gu 10

1980

1998

2002

#### 2. METHODS

A systematic review was conducted in compliance with the guidelines by Collaboration for Environmental Evidence (CEE) and according to a previously published protocol (Land et al. 2015). The systematic review included both human and environmental samples. Temporal data were reanalysed using the same statistical methods across all datasets. As meta-analysis was not feasible, the synthesis is mainly narrative but includes graphic visualizations of study results (examples shown in Figure 1).

**Example A.** No detected change-point and no significant trend. The power to detect a trend is low which means that a trend may be present, but given the inter-annual variability the dataset is insufficient to detect that.

**Example B.** A significant change-point (CP) is detected, but the trends are insignificant with low power to detect a trend both before and after the CP. There is a significantly decreasing trend if the entire study period is considered (indicated by green marker).

**Example C.** A significant change-point (CP) is detected. Before the CP the trend is insignificant and the power to detect a trend is high. It is unlikely that there is a significant (undetected) trend before the CP. After the CP there is a significant decreasing trend.





### **3. RESULTS**

The systematic review includes 13 PFASs. Figure 2 shows study results for PFOS in humans.



**Example D.** No change-point analysis was performed (<7 time-points). There is no significant trend and the power to detect a trend is low.



1990

2000

2010



Year

1970 1980 1990 2000 2010 -5 0 5 10 15 20 Year Annual change (%)

Annual change (%)

Figure 1. Four examples showing how results obtained in time trend analyses are visualized.

## **4. CONCLUSIONS**

In regions where regulations and phase-outs have been implemented, concentrations of **PFOS<sup>1</sup>**, **PFDS<sup>2</sup>**, and **PFOA<sup>3</sup>** in humans are generally declining, as are some **PFOS**precursors (e.g. **PFOSA**<sup>4</sup>).

In contrast, limited data indicate that concentrations of PFOS and PFOA are increasing in China where the production of these substances has increased.

Concentrations of perfluorinated carboxylic acids (PFCAs) with 9-14 carbon atoms are generally increasing or show insignificant trends with low power to detect a trend.

Declining **PFOS**, **PFOS-precursor** and **PFOA** concentrations in humans likely resulted from removal of certain PFASs from commercial products or from food packaging. Increasing concentrations of long chain PFCAs (C9-C14) in most matrices, and in most regions, is likely due to increased use of alternative PFASs.

#### **Figure 2.** Temporal trends of PFOS in humans and dietary exposure.

	<b>PFAS acronym</b>	Chain length	Group	PFAS full name	<b>Chemical formula</b>
1)	PFOS	C8	PFSA	Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid	$C_8F_{17}SO_9H$
2)	PFDS	C10	PFSA	Perfluorodecane sulfonic acid	$C_{10} \dot{F}_{21} S \dot{O}_{2} H$
3)	PFOA	C8	PFCA	Perfluorooctanoic acid	C_F_COOH
4)	PFOSA	C8	Precursor	Perfluorooctane sulfonami de	$C_{8}^{\prime}H_{2}^{15}F_{17}NO_{2}S$

#### Reference

Land, M., de Wit, C.A., Cousins, I.T., Herzke, D., Johansson, J., Martin, J.W., 2015. What is the effect of phasing out long-chain per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances on the concentrations of perfluoroalkyl acids and their precursors in the enironment? A systematic review protocol. Environmental Evidence 4, 1-13.

The Mistra Council for Evidence-Based Environmental Management (EviEM) works for environmental management to be placed on a scientific foundation. Through systematic reviews of environmental issues, we aim to improve the basis for decisions in Swedish environmental policy. MISTRA-EviEM is a centre of Collaboration for Environmental Evidence – a coordinating body for environmental evidence synthesis, currently financed by the Swedish Foundation For Strategic Environmental Research.



EVIDENCE-BASED ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

